

Inspection report on compliance with HTA licensing standards  
Inspection date: **20 May 2025**



**Sygnature Discovery Ltd**  
HTA licensing number 12614

Licensed under the Human Tissue Act 2004

**Licensed activities**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Storage of relevant material which has come from a human body for use for a scheduled purpose</b>	<b>Removal from the body of a deceased person (otherwise than in the course of an anatomical examination or post-mortem examination) of relevant material of which the body consists or which it contains, for use for a scheduled purpose other than transplantation</b>
<b>Hub site</b> Sygnature Discovery Ltd BioCity	Licensed	Not licensed
<b>Satellite site</b> Sygnature Discovery Ltd Alderley Park	Licensed	Not licensed

### Summary of inspection findings

The HTA found the Designated Individual (DI) and the Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

Although the HTA found that Sygnature Discovery Ltd ('the establishment') had met the majority of the HTA's standards, three minor shortfalls were found against standards for governance and quality systems and premises, facilities and equipment.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified, subject to corrective and preventative actions being implemented to meet the shortfalls identified during the inspection.

### Compliance with HTA standards

#### Minor Shortfalls

Standard	Inspection findings	Level of shortfall
<b>GQ1 All aspects of the establishments work are governed by documented policies and procedures as part of the overall governance process</b>		
a) Ratified, documented and up-to-date policies and procedures are in place, covering all licensable activities.	<p>The establishment did not have a documented Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for auditing activities, which limits clarity and consistency in how audits of licensable activities are carried out.</p> <p><i>The establishment submitted sufficient evidence to address this shortfall before the report was finalised.</i></p>	<b>Minor</b>

Standard	Inspection findings	Level of shortfall
e) There is a system for managing complaints.	<p>The establishment does not have a system in place for managing complaints related to licensed activities.</p> <p><i>The establishment submitted sufficient evidence to address this shortfall before the report was finalised.</i></p>	<b>Minor</b>

PFE1 The premises are secure and fit for purpose		
c) There are documented cleaning and decontamination procedures.	<p>The establishment did not have a documented procedure for the cleaning and decontamination of the storage area.</p> <p><i>The establishment submitted sufficient evidence to address this shortfall before the report was finalised.</i></p>	<b>Minor</b>

The HTA requires the DI to submit a completed corrective and preventative action (CAPA) plan setting out how the shortfalls will be addressed, within 14 days of receipt of the final report (refer to Appendix 2 for recommended timeframes within which to complete actions). The HTA will then inform the establishment of the evidence required to demonstrate that the actions agreed in the plan have been completed.

## Advice

The HTA advises the DI to consider the following to further improve practices:

Number	Standard	Advice
1.	GQ1(b)	The establishment manages document control manually, reviewing each document to track changes and check for upcoming review dates. Given the high volume of activities, the DI is advised to consider transitioning to a digital document control system by creating an index document listing all the relevant documents in one place. This may help improve efficiency and provide better oversight of document changes and review schedules, ultimately supporting timely updates and strengthening overall governance.
2.	GQ1(b)	While the establishment reviews its SOPs every year, the current practice is not to circulate SOPs to staff if no changes have been made. The DI is advised to consider distributing the reviewed SOPs to staff even if no changes are made, to ensure ongoing awareness and compliance
3.	GQ2(b)	The establishment has a process in place for recording and addressing audit findings, with the DI named as the responsible person. The DI is advised to also record the name of the individual actioning each CAPA. This would support clearer accountability, improve oversight of progress, and enhance the effectiveness of audit follow-up.
4.	GQ4(a)	The establishment maintains several registers to record all relevant material and has SOPs and processes in place to support full traceability. The DI is advised to ensure that all inventory records consistently include a reason for disposal. This will support completeness of documentation and strengthen traceability across all records.

## **Background**

Sygnature Discovery Ltd, a commercial clinical research organisation founded in 2004, conducts contract research in pre-clinical drug discovery using in vitro cell models and biochemical assays, with no in-house research. Three of its groups involved in human tissue work are Biosciences and Drug Metabolism, Pharmacokinetics (DMPK), and In Vivo Pharmacology (IVP).

Sygnature Discovery Ltd, has been licensed by the HTA since 2014 This was the fourth inspection of the establishment; an Evaluated Self-Assessment took place in March 2024. Since the previous inspection, there have been no significant changes to the licence arrangements or the activities carried out under the licence

## **Description of inspection activities undertaken**

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The inspection team covered the following areas during the inspection:

### *Standards assessed against during inspection*

There are 47 standards in the Research sector, of which 46 were assessed. Standard PFE2(b) could not be assessed as the establishment does not store bodies or body parts (standards published 3 April 2017).

### *Review of governance documentation*

The assessment included a review of documentation relevant to the establishment's licensed activities. This included policies and procedural documents relating to licensed activities, agreements with suppliers, equipment maintenance records, risk assessments, arrangements for temperature monitoring for the storage units, staff training records, a review of the sample tracking spreadsheets and databases used to record and track relevant material, audits, and incidents.

### *Visual inspection*

No site visit was undertaken as part of this inspection. The establishment provided images of the storage facilities that allowed for assessment of security measures and the signage on the individual units.

#### *Audit of records*

There were no sample audits carried out. A number of audits carried out by the establishment staff, which included audits covering processes and traceability of specimens, were reviewed.

#### *Meetings with establishment staff*

The inspection included discussions with the DI, PDs and other staff working under the licence. This included Senior Scientists, one Lead Scientist and one establishment Internal HTA Team member.

**Report sent to DI for factual accuracy: 9 June 2025**

**Report returned from DI: 13 June 2025**

**Final report issued: 13 June 2025**

## **Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements**

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity; and
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent
- governance and quality systems
- traceability
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

## **Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall**

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor'. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

### **1. Critical shortfall:**

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions

*or*

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented.
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities
- Additional conditions being proposed
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway

### **2. Major shortfall:**

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or



- has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed

*or*

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

### **3. Minor shortfall:**

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk based review or at the time of the next inspection.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

### **Follow up actions**

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with the final inspection report. Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up inspection
- a request for information that shows completion of actions
- monitoring of the action plan completion
- follow up at next routine inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.