Licence application assessment report on compliance with HTA licensing standards Site visit date: no visit undertaken



# Canterbury Christ Church University

Proposed HTA licensing number 12706

Application for a licence under the Human Tissue Act 2004

# Activities applied to be licensed

| Area                                   | Carrying out<br>of an<br>anatomical<br>examination | Removal from the body of a deceased person<br>(otherwise than in the course of an anatomical<br>examination or post mortem examination) of<br>relevant material of which the body consists<br>or which it contains, for use for a scheduled<br>purpose other than transplantation | Storage of a body of a<br>deceased person or relevant<br>material which has come<br>from a human body for use<br>for a scheduled purpose | Storage of an<br>anatomical<br>specimen |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Canterbury Christ<br>Church University | Applied to be<br>licensed                          | Applied to be licensed  | Applied to be licensed   | Applied to be<br>licensed               |

# Summary of findings

The HTA found the proposed Designated Individual (DI) and the proposed Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

Although the HTA found that Canterbury Christ Church University (the 'establishment') had a small number of potential shortfalls against our licensing standards, these matters were resolved to HTA's satisfaction before this report was finalised and the licence was offered.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified. The establishment is working with the London Anatomy Office (LAO) to complete the formal agreement that must be in place prior to accepting bodies.

### **Compliance with HTA standards**

All applicable HTA standards have been assessed as fully met.

#### Background

Canterbury Christ Church University, in partnership with the University of Kent, opened a brand new Medical School and Anatomy Learning Centre (ALC) facility the Kent and Medway Medical School (KMMS) in September 2020. The establishment proposes to open anatomy facilities for anatomical examination of formalin- and Thiel-embalmed bodies and specimens in order to teach gross anatomy to students. The establishment has applied for a HTA licence.

#### Description of activities undertaken

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The Regulation Manager covered the following areas during a desk-based assessment.

#### Standards assessed

40 out of 47 HTA licensing standards were covered during the assessment (standards published 3 April 2017). Some standards relating to consent procedures (C1(a), C1(d), C1(e), and C1(f)) and stardards relating to consent training (C2(a), C2(b) and C2(c)) were not applicable as the establishment does not directly seek consent from donors.

### Review of governance documentation

Policies and procedural documents relating to all licensed activities, including standard operating procedures, risk assessments and traceability systems were assessed. Documents detailing the plans for staff training, adverse events, incidents, governance meetings and audits were also reviewed.

#### Visual inspection

There was no site visit inspection associated with the licence application although a virtual tour of the facilities was provided at the desk-based assessment.

## Meetings with establishment staff

The assessment also included a meeting with the proposed Designated Individual (DI), proposed Corporate Licence Holder contact (CLHc), Faculty Director of Learning and Teaching, Deputy Director of Estates and Facilities, Health & Safety Advisor, Head of Anatomy and the Senior Anatomy Technician.

Report sent to proposed DI for factual accuracy: 21 December 2020

Report returned from proposed DI: 23 December 2020

Final report issued: 29 December 2020

# Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity, and;
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of site visit inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent;
- governance and quality systems;
- traceability, and;
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

# Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor'. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

## 1. Critical shortfall:

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions

or

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence;
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented;
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities;
- Additional conditions being proposed, or;
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway.

### 2. Major shortfall:

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or;
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or;
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or;

• has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed.

#### or

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

### 3. Minor shortfall:

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk based review or at the time of the next site visit.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

### Follow up actions

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with the final inspection report. Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up site visit inspection;
- a request for information that shows completion of actions;
- monitoring of the action plan completion, or;
- follow up at next routine site visit inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.