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Summary of inspection findings

This inspection was conducted jointly with assessors from the United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS), who assessed the mortuary against selected licensing standards on behalf of HTA.

The HTA found the Designated Individual, the Licence Holder, the premises and the practices to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

The HTA found that Great Ormond Street Foundation Trust Hospital (the establishment) had met the majority of the HTA standards. Minor shortfalls were found in relation to documented procedures for licensable activities and incident reporting to HTA.

Examples of strengths are included in the concluding comments section of the report.
The HTA’s regulatory requirements
The HTA must assure itself that the Designated Individual, Licence Holder, premises and practices are suitable.

The statutory duties of the Designated Individual (DI) are set out in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (‘the HT Act’). They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity; and
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. The HTA inspects the establishments it licenses against four groups of standards:

- consent
- governance and quality systems
- premises facilities and equipment
- disposal.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that a standard is not met, the level of the shortfall is classified as ‘Critical’, ‘Major’ or ‘Minor’ (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is given to the DI.

Reports of HTA inspections carried out from 1 November 2010 are published on the HTA’s website.

Background to the establishment and description of inspection activities undertaken
Approximately 450 perinatal and paediatric post mortem (PM) examinations are performed each year at Great Ormond Street Foundation Trust Hospital (the establishment). These are mainly referrals from other hospitals, performed under service level agreements. The establishment carries out full, limited, minimally invasive and non-invasive PM examinations. The majority of PM examinations are hospital (consented) cases, the remainder being performed under coronial authority, including a small number of Home Office cases. Coronial PM examinations are performed on cases referred from multiple coronial districts in and around Greater London. The establishment is also a referral centre for specialist examination of brains and hearts.

Consent for paediatric PM examination of children who have died at the establishment may be sought by consultant or junior doctors. They receive training in consent for PM examination at their Trust induction, with two-yearly refresher sessions. Anatomical pathology technologists (APTs), who are also trained consent seekers, may assist a clinician when consent is being sought from the parents or guardians of the child. The establishment uses its own local PM examination consent form and information leaflet (refer to advice item 1).

The mortuary is to be refurbished in 2016. During this period, bodies will be transferred to other HTA-licensed premises for storage and for PM examination, and appropriate steps
have been taken to ensure continuity of service and to minimise disruption. When the works are confirmed, the DI will notify the HTA of the expected commencement date and when they are likely to be completed.

The body store currently has 16 refrigerated spaces. Fridge temperatures are monitored daily (refer to shortfall against standard GQ1). Fridge alarms ring locally, to Security and to some key staff in the event of a temperature excursion. Alarms are tested annually (refer to advice item 4). The PM suite has two downdraft tables.

During the booking-in process for bodies, identification details and documentation are carefully reviewed. Any discrepancy identified is resolved with the referring hospital before the PM examination goes ahead. Immediately prior to a PM examination, the APT and pathologist verify the identity of the body against accompanying documentation, a written record of which is kept. Each case is assigned a unique PM examination number for traceability purposes. Samples for histopathological analysis are processed onsite into paraffin wax embedded tissue blocks and microscope slides. Toxicology samples are sent to another HTA-licensed establishment.

The establishment’s Histopathology Department stores formalin-fixed brains, and tissue blocks and slides generated from them, which have been consented for use for research by the parents or guardians of the child.

Tissue biopsies may, in rare cases, be taken from deceased children on the paediatric intensive care unit for further investigation. Consultant intensivists seek consent for removal and use of these samples from the parents or guardians of the child. An adapted version of the local PM examination consent form is used in such cases.

The establishment has been licensed by the HTA since May 2007. Two previous site visit inspections have taken place (in October 2008 and August 2011). This report describes its third, routine, site visit inspection, which was conducted jointly with the United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS), who gathered evidence against selected licensing standards on behalf of HTA. The HTA inspector met with staff involved with licensable activities and reviewed documentation.

Records for three bodies in storage were audited. In relation to one case, documentation received with the body from the referring centre contained discrepancies. The establishment’s practice is to check with referring centres where errors in documentation are found when the body is booked in, to ensure that its own paperwork is correct. No other anomalies were seen in records for this case, or for the other two cases audited. Records for a further three bodies which had received a PM examination were audited (one consented and two coronial cases). In one case, the details of one set of specimens taken at PM examination had not been transcribed into the PM Book, but were listed correctly in all other traceability records for this case (refer to advice item 2). No anomalies were found in the other two cases audited. Records for a brain and a heart stored for research were also audited. No anomalies were found.

The establishment stores organs and tissues taken under police authority during Home Office PM examinations performed here and at other HTA-licensed establishments. Under s39 of the HT Act, relevant material held for criminal justice purposes is outside the scope of HTA regulation and is not subject to the licensing requirements for storage. However, the 2012 report of the Association of Chief Police Officers’ (ACPO) audit of tissue held under police authority contained a recommendation that police exhibits held on HTA-licensed premises should be included within the regular HTA inspection process. Therefore, procedures for
Home Office PM examinations and the management of tissues and organs taken for criminal justice purposes were reviewed by HTA at this site visit inspection. Any findings in relation to Home Office PM examinations and police holdings have been shared with the Home Office, but do not appear in this report as they are outside the scope of the HT Act.

The establishment holds other HTA licences under the Human Tissue (Quality and Safety for Human Application) Regulations 2007 and the Human Tissue (Quality and Safety of Organs Intended for Transplantation) Regulations 2012 (licensing numbers 11026, 40041 respectively). Activities taking place under these other licences were not reviewed at this inspection.

**Inspection findings**

The HTA found the Designated Individual and the Licence Holder to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

**Compliance with HTA standards**

**Governance and Quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Inspection findings</th>
<th>Level of shortfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| GQ1 All aspects of the establishment’s work are supported by ratified documented policies and procedures as part of the overall governance process. | Some standard operating procedures (SOPs) are insufficiently detailed. For example:  
- the ‘Post mortems’ SOP (reference PSOP017) does not specify which identity details must be confirmed by the APT and the pathologist prior to PM examination;  
- the ‘Disposal of organs retained following post mortem examination’ and the ‘Disposal of blocks and slides from post mortem examination cases’ SOPs (PSOP072 and PSOP073, respectively) do not specify which fields in the relevant databases are to be completed when specimens are disposed of, or what information is to be recorded in them, for example the numbers of blocks and slides being disposed of and the date of disposal;  
- temperature ranges for body fridges and freezers, and the upper and lower alarm limits, are not documented. | Minor  
There is no documented procedure for cleaning of the mortuary premises.  
This is not an exhaustive list; all key SOPs should be reviewed to ensure an appropriate level of detail. |
**Advice**

The HTA advises the DI to consider the following to further improve practices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Advice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>GQ2</td>
<td>The DI is advised to arrange for the current version of the PM examination consent form to be uploaded to the document control system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>GQ2</td>
<td>Because of the high numbers of samples taken at PM examination, and the risk of transcription errors, the DI is advised to undertake regular audits of key traceability records and bring to the attention of staff errors that are identified, to reinforce the importance of accurate record keeping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>GQ3</td>
<td>The DI is advised to maintain records of training and competency assessment for clinical site practitioners and nursing staff who may be required to perform viewings outside of core working hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>PFE3</td>
<td>The DI is advised to introduce a schedule of periodic challenge of mortuary body fridge and freezer alarms, for further assurance these continue to function correctly. Records of alarm testing should be maintained.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Concluding comments**

Despite the minor shortfalls, areas of strength were identified. There are comprehensive induction and refresher training sessions for staff on seeking consent for PM examination. Risk assessments for mortuary activities are well written and thorough. The establishment has good working relationships with many Coroners and referring hospitals, in its role as a national referral centre.

Some areas of practice require improvement, including two minor shortfalls. The HTA has given advice to the DI regarding quality management, training and mortuary premises and equipment.

The HTA requires that the Designated Individual addresses the shortfalls by submitting a completed corrective and preventative action (CAPA) plan within 14 days of receipt of the final report (refer to Appendix 2 for recommended timeframes within which to complete actions). The HTA will then inform the establishment of the evidence required to demonstrate that the actions agreed in the plan have been completed.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified, subject to corrective and preventative actions being implemented to meet the shortfalls identified during the inspection.
Completion of corrective and preventative actions (CAPA) plan

Based on information provided, the HTA is satisfied that the establishment has completed the agreed actions in the CAPA plan and in doing so has taken sufficient action to correct all shortfalls addressed in the Inspection Report.

Date: 12 February 2016
**Appendix 1: HTA standards**
The HTA standards applicable to this establishment are shown below; those not assessed during the inspection are shown in grey text. Individual standards which are not applicable to this establishment have been excluded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C1 Consent is obtained in accordance with the requirements of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) and as set out in the code of practice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a documented policy which governs consent for post-mortem examination and the retention of tissue and reflects the requirements of the HT Act and the latest version of the HTA Code of Practice on consent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a documented SOP detailing the consent process (including who is able to take consent, what training they must receive, and what information must be provided to those giving consent for post-mortem examination).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is written information about the consent process (provided to those giving consent), which reflects the requirements of the HT Act and the latest version of the HTA Code of Practice on consent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **C2 Information about the consent process is provided and in a variety of formats** |
| • Relatives are given an opportunity to ask questions. |
| • Relatives are given an opportunity to change their minds and is it made clear who should be contacted in this event. |
| • Information contains clear guidance on options for how tissue may be handled after the post-mortem examination (repatriated with the body, returned to the family for burial/cremation, disposed of or stored for future use). |
| • Where consent is sought for tissue to be retained for future use, information is provided about the potential uses in order to ensure that informed consent is obtained. |
| • Information on the consent process is available in different languages and formats, or there is access to interpreters/translators. |

| **C3 Staff involved in seeking consent receive training and support in the implications and essential requirements of taking consent** |
| • There is a training programme for taking consent for post-mortem examination and tissue retention which addresses the requirements of the HT Act and HTA code of practice on consent. |
| • Refresher training is available (e.g. annually). |
| • Attendance at consent training is documented. |
| • If untrained staff are involved in consent taking, they are always accompanied by a trained individual. |
Governance and quality system standards

GQ1 All aspects of the establishments work are supported by ratified documented policies and procedures as part of the overall governance process

- Documented policies and SOPs cover all mortuary/laboratory procedures relevant to the licensed activity. These may include:
  - post-mortem examination, including the responsibilities of the APTs and Pathologists (e.g. evisceration) and management of high risk cases
  - receipt and release of bodies, which reflect out of hours arrangements
  - lone working in the mortuary
  - transfer of bodies and tissue (including blocks and slides) to other establishments or off site
  - ensuring that tissue is handled in line with documented wishes of the relatives
  - disposal of tissue (including blocks and slides)

(Note that individual SOPs for each activity are not required. Some SOPs will cover more than one activity.)

- Policies and procedures are regularly reviewed (for example, every 1-3 years).
- There is a system for recording that staff have read and understood the latest versions of these documents.
- Deviations from documented SOPs are recorded and monitored.

GQ2 There is a documented system of quality management and audit

- There is a quality manual which includes mortuary activities.
- Policies and SOPs are version controlled (and only the latest versions available for use).
- There is a schedule for audits to be carried out (which may include vertical and/or horizontal audits).
- Audits include compliance with documented procedures, records (for completeness) and traceability.
- Audit findings document who is responsible for follow up actions and the timeframe for completing those actions.
- Regular audits of tissue being stored at the establishment ensure that staff are fully aware what material is held and why.
- There is a complaints system in place.

GQ3 Staff are appropriately qualified and trained in techniques relevant to their work and are continuously updating their skills

- Staff are appropriately trained/qualified or supervised.
- Staff have annual appraisals.
- Staff are given opportunities to attend training courses, either internally or externally.
- Attendance by staff at training events is recorded.
- There is a documented training programme for new mortuary staff (e.g. competency checklist).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GQ4</th>
<th>There is a systematic and planned approach to the management of records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is a system for managing records which includes which records must be maintained, how they are backed up, where records are kept, how long each type of record is retained and who has access to each type of record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There are documented SOPs for record management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GQ6</th>
<th>A coding and records system facilitates traceability of bodies, body parts, tissues and cells, ensuring a robust audit trail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bodies are tagged/labelled upon arrival at the mortuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is a system to track each body from admission to the mortuary to release for burial or cremation (e.g. mortuary register, patient file, transport records).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Organs and tissue samples taken during PM examination are fully traceable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Details of organs retained and the number of wax blocks and tissue slides made are recorded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The traceability system includes the movement of tissue samples between establishments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Details are recorded of tissue that is repatriated or released with the body for burial or cremation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Regular audits of tissue storage and traceability are undertaken to ensure compliance with operational procedures; tissue samples found which are not being stored with consent are disposed of with reference to the family’s wishes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Multiple identifiers used, including at least one unique identifier (e.g. post mortem number, name, dates of birth/death, etc) to identify bodies and tissue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GQ7</th>
<th>There are systems to ensure that all adverse events, reactions and / or incidents are investigated promptly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Staff are trained in how to use the incident reporting system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Staff know how to identify incidents and near-misses which must be reported, including those that must be reported to the HTA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The incident reporting system clearly outline responsibilities for reporting, investigating and follow up for incidents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The incident reporting system ensures that follow up actions are identified (i.e. corrective and preventative actions) and completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Information about incidents is shared with all staff (including the reporter) to avoid repeat errors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GQ8</th>
<th>Risk assessments of the establishment’s practices and processes are completed regularly and are recorded and monitored appropriately</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• All procedures related to the licensed activities (as outlined in standard GQ1) are risk assessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Risk assessments include risks associated with non-compliance with HTA standards as well as health and safety risks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Risk assessments are reviewed regularly (along with SOPs), for example every 1-3 years.
- Risk assessments include how to mitigate the identified risks; this includes actions that need to be taken, who is responsible for each action, deadlines for completing actions and confirmation that actions have been completed.

## Premises, facilities and equipment standards

### PFE1 The premises are fit for purpose

- There is sufficient space for the activities to be carried out.
- Refrigerated storage units are in good working condition and well maintained.
- Surfaces are made of non-porous materials.
- The premises are in reasonable condition (structure and cleanliness of floors, walls, entranceways).
- The premises are secure (e.g. there is controlled access to bodies, tissue, equipment and records).

### PFE2 Environmental controls are in place to avoid potential contamination

- There is clear separation of clean, transitional and dirty zones (e.g. doors, floor markings, signs).
- There is appropriate PPE available and routinely worn by staff.
- There is adequate critical equipment and/or PPE available for high risk post mortems.
- There are documented cleaning and decontamination procedures.
- There are documented cleaning schedule and records of cleaning and decontamination.

### PFE3 There are appropriate facilities for the storage of bodies, body parts, tissues and cells, consumables and records.

- There is sufficient capacity for storage of bodies, organs and tissues.
- Temperatures of fridges and freezers are monitored on a regular basis.
- There are documented contingency plans in place should there be a power failure, or overflow.
- Bodies are shrouded whilst in storage.
- There is separate storage for infants and babies. If not, special measures are taken for the bodies of infants and babies.

### PFE4 Systems are in place to protect the quality and integrity of bodies, body parts, tissues and cells during transport and delivery to a destination

- There are documented procedures for transportation of bodies and tissue anywhere outside the mortuary (e.g. lab, other establishment), including record-keeping requirements.
- There are written agreements in place with any external parties (e.g. undertaker, or courier) who transport bodies and/or tissue behalf of the establishment (laboratory or mortuary).
(Note that coroners usually have their own agreements with external parties for transportation bodies and tissue; however, documentation for traceability purposes must still be maintained by the establishment for these cases.)

PFE5 Equipment is appropriate for use, maintained, quality assured, validated and where appropriate monitored

- Items of equipment in the mortuary are in a good condition and appropriate for use:
  - fridges / Freezers
  - hydraulic trolleys
  - post mortem tables
  - hoists
  - saws (manual and/or oscillating)
  - PPE for high risk cases (e.g. respirators)
- The use of porous materials is kept to a minimum and has been risk assessed
- Maintenance/service records are kept for equipment, including fridges/freezers, trolleys, post mortem tables (if downdraught) and post mortem suite ventilation.

(Note: These records may be held by the mortuary or centrally by the Trust, e.g. Estates Department.)

Disposal Standards

D1 There is a clear and sensitive policy for disposing of human organs and tissue

- There is a documented Trust or mortuary/laboratory policy for the disposal of human tissue, which reflects the requirements of the HTA code of practice on disposal.
- The policy states the position with regard to the retention and use of microscope slides, and in particular that tissue slides must be disposed of or returned to the family in accordance with their wishes if consent is not obtained for their continued storage and future use once the PM has concluded.

D2 PM tissue is disposed of if consent is not given for its storage and use for scheduled purposes

- There are documented procedures for disposal of human tissue, which include methods of disposal for whole organs, wet tissue, wax blocks and microscope slides.
- Tissue is disposed of in accordance with the documented wishes of the deceased person’s family.
- Disposal details of organs and tissue blocks are recorded, including the date and method of disposal.
- There is a rolling programme of tissue disposal that ensures that tissue, including microscope slides, is disposed of in a timely fashion when it is no longer needed for the purposes of the Coroner or to determine the cause of death.
Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as ‘Critical’, ‘Major’ or ‘Minor’. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA’s assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions.

1. Critical shortfall:

   A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions

   or

   A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

   A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

   (1) A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence

   (2) Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented.

   (3) A notice of suspension of licensable activities

   (4) Additional conditions being proposed

   (5) Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway

2. Major shortfall:

   A non-critical shortfall that:

   • poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or

   • indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or

   • indicates a breach of the relevant CoPs, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or

   • has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed

   or

   A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

   In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

3. Minor shortfall:

   A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.
This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk based or site visit.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

**Follow up actions**

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with both the draft and final inspection report. You must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up site-visit inspection
- a request for information that shows completion of actions
- monitoring of the action plan completion
- follow up at next desk-based or site-visit inspection.

After an assessment of your proposed action plan you will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.